

19

20

23

- (hereinafter "Defendant") to Plaintiffs' CHELSEA, LLC, MARK RUSSO, ALLEN LORETZ, and IVAN SIMPSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") Verified First Amended Class Action Complaint and admits, denies, and alleges as follows. Defendant reserves the right to file appropriate amendments to this Answer, if necessary, if and when additional information is obtained.
- 1. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 2. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 2, 3, 10, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, and 58 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- Defendant has insufficient information to respond to those 3. allegations contained in Paragraphs 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore based upon its lack of information and belief, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 4. To the extent that the Plaintiffs incorporate by reference allegations contained in Paragraphs 35, 38, 46, 51, Defendant incorporates herein its responses thereto.
- 5. Answering paragraph 6, Defendant denies that a class exists or class treatment is appropriate. Defendant has insufficient information to respond to all other allegations in Paragraph 6 and therefore based upon its lack of information and belief, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 6. Answering paragraph 12, Defendant admits Regal Stone is incorporated in Hong Kong and is the owner of the M/V Cosco Busan. Defendant denies all other allegations contained therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph 13, Defendant admits Hanjin Shipping Co., Ltd. on November 7, 2007 was the Time Charterer of the M/V Cosco Busan.

1

5

8

9

12

15

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant denies all other allegations contained therein.

- 8. Answering paragraph 14, Defendant admits Synergy Maritime, Ltd. is the Commercial Manager of the M/V Cosco Busan. Defendant denies all other allegations contained therein.
- 9. Answering paragraph 15, Defendant admits that this is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is within the Court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1333 and Article III § 2 of the United States Constitution. Defendant denies Plaintiffs' claim that this Court has jurisdiction over this action because this is a class action lawsuit in which over \$5,000,000 is at issue and there are more than one hundred putative class members and that this Court has jurisdiction under the Extension of Admiralty Act. Defendant has insufficient information to respond to all other allegations in the paragraph and therefore based upon their lack of information and belief, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 10. Answering paragraph 16, Defendant admits venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Defendant denies all other allegations contained therein.
- 11. Answering paragraph 25, Defendant denies that a class exists or that class treatment is appropriate. Defendant has insufficient information to respond to all other allegations in the paragraph and therefore based upon their lack of information and belief, Defendant denies the allegations contained therein in their entirety.
- 12. Answering paragraph 39, Defendant admits Regal Stone was the Owner and Hanjin Shipping Co., Ltd. was the Time Charterer of the M/V Cosco Busan. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in this paragraph.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS A FIRST SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE 1.

- 3 -

KYL SF459967

Claimant to Vessel Regal Stone, Ltd. Making a Restricted Appearance to Answer on Behalf of Defendant M/V COSCO BUSAN, IN REM - Case No. C 07 05800 (SC)

KYL_SF459967

1	DEFENSE, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs lack standing to compel Defendants to
$_2$	establish a Fund & Emergency Clean-Up Program as alleged in the first cause of action
3	10. AS A TENTH SEPARATE AND DISTRINCT AFFIRMATIVE
4	DEFENSE, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' Complaint lacks sufficient specificity to
5	state a claim for punitive damages.
6	11. AS AN ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT
7	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendant alleges that certain putative class members may
8	have waived and/or released some or all of the claims asserted in the Complaint.
9	12. AS A TWELFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT
10	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims for injunctive relie
11	are barred because Plaintiff has an adequate and complete remedy at law and/or
12	Plaintiff cannot make the requisite showing to obtain injunctive relief.
13	PRAYER
14	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:
15	1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by way of its Complaint;
16	2. That Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with all costs to be
17	taxed against Plaintiff, including reasonable attorney's fees as may be allowed by case o
18	statutory authority and/or agreement of the parties; and
19	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and
20	proper.
21	•
22	
23	
24	DATED: February 8, 2008 JOHN D. GIFFIN
25	JULÍE L. TAYLOR Attorneys for M/V COSCO BUSAN, <i>in rem</i>
26	internet in the control of the contr
27	
28	- 5 - KYL_SF459967